MINUTES FOR THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE 
CAPE CORAL CITY COUNCIL 

MARCH 14, 2016

Council Chambers 4:30 p.m.

Meeting called to order by Mayor Sawicki at 4:30 p.m.

Moment of Silence – Mayor Sawicki

Pledge of Allegiance – Larissa Norris from Diplomat Elementary

Roll Call: Mayor Sawicki, Council Members Burch, Carioscia, Cosden, Leon, Stout, and Williams were present. Councilmember Erbrick was excused.

CHANGES TO AGENDA/ADOPTION OF AGENDA

There was one change to the agenda; New Business item 11(A) to be moved to directly after Citizen’s input.

Councilmember Williams moved, seconded by Councilmember Cosden to adopt the agenda as amended.

Council polled as follows: Sawicki, Stout, Williams, Burch, Carioscia, Cosden, and Leon voted “aye.” Seven “ayes.” Motion carried 7-0.

RECOGNITIONS/ACHIEVEMENTS

None.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Strategic Planning Meeting – February 24, 2016

Councilmember Burch moved, seconded by Councilmember Leon to approve the minutes for the February 24, 2016 Strategic Planning meeting as presented. Voice Poll: All “ayes.” Motion carried.

BUSINESS

PUBLIC COMMENT - CONSENT AGENDA

No speakers.

CONSENT AGENDA

(1) Resolution 31-16 Approval of Contract for Purchase of Lots 19 and 20, Block 3632, Uni 49, Cape Coral Subdivision, 313 Chiquita Boulevard South, for lift station purposes for the North 2 Utilities Extension Project for a purchase price of $13,000 plus closing costs not to exceed $1,400. Department: Financial Services / Real Estate Dollar Value: $14,400 (Water and Sewer Fund)

(2) Addendum to the Westin Economic Development Incentive Agreement to include a date certain for project completion Department: EDO Dollar Value: N/A (Fund: N/A)

Councilmember Cosden moved, seconded by Councilmember Stout to approve items 8(B)(1) and 8(B)(2), as presented.

Council polled as follows: Sawicki, Stout, Williams, Burch, Carioscia, Cosden, and Leon voted “aye.” Seven “ayes.” Motion carried 7-0.
CITIZENS INPUT TIME

Tim Trimble discussed whether or not the electronic signs will be regulated. He has inquired with code and would like a response. He also brought up the LCEC topic for tonight and the size of the customer base from Cape Coral vs. rest of LCEC customers.

Rich Galvano, representative of Solar Power and Light, believes there is a tremendous opportunity for the City of Cape Coral to take advantage of local natural resources and reusable energy. He commented on qualifications of the next speaker, Dell Jones. He discussed the job opportunities with the use of solar power.

Dell Jones, resident and business owner in Southwest Florida, discussed the application of solar energy businesses throughout the country. He discussed the job opportunities using solar energy would bring to our City and the cost reductions available.

John Paul discussed the use of the nuclear fusion plant, the sun. Cape Coral can be ahead of the curve by harnessing this fusion plant. He discussed the job opportunities and the Cape Coral logo that includes the sun.

Dan Sheppard discussed the blight around the Bimini Basin with run down duplexes and four-plexes that are boarded up and overrun with rodents. He expressed his concerns about the property values. Would like to know why this area is not being taken care of. He also mentioned a group that the Mayor owns a consulting / lobbying business called Indigo Pros. He would like to know if she has any clients in Cape Coral, developers, landowners, or bar owners that would cause a conflict of interest.

Jo Ann Elardo discussed her experience with LCEC. She installed solar panels in her home. She stated that LCEC discouraged her to do this. She is working with a new business in downtown Cape Coral and as a small business has faced excessive costs.

Dolores Bertolini addressed the volunteers in the City. She discussed a form that can be filled out at the Police Department when a resident leaves their home for an extended amount of time; police volunteers drive around the city and make sure that the resident’s home is okay.

Jerry Owens addressed the Extended Bar Hours topic that will be introduced this evening. The citizens of Cape Coral should not be responsible for funding the additional personnel the Police Department needs for this program. That cost should be split between the bar owners. The bars will be making a profit from this program; so why should the citizens subsidize their business?

Scott DeFilippis discussed the Extended Bar Hours topic. He expressed concerns about the costs for the additional hours and that it only benefits a select few businesses.

Shelly Lapaglia from Backstreets discussed the Extended Bar Hours topic. She spent $20,000 on police officers. She believes that being open from 2:00 to 4:00 a.m. has built the South Cape and attracted more businesses. She made less money this year because of what she spent on police, security labor, band, utilities, and gas for limo to take people home. That totaled $72,000 for the year. She is interested in doing it again because the money stayed in our City and did not go to the IRS. Her reasons to continue: her employees made money, sales tax and the police force received money from her too. She discussed the increase in surrounding business such as transportation, restaurants, and hotels. The increase in police numbers are because they are paying for an officer so they are using them instead of having her in-house security handle the trouble.
Jim Schmidt addressed the Council last year in reference to LCEC and the cost of the CIAC charge of $5,000. He was told that 3 homes benefit from him paying the fee and that once the neighbors build he can ask them for 2/3 of the money he paid for their benefit. He attempted to file a complaint and was sent to the Public Service Commission.

Dale Darwin came to thank the Mayor and Council for taking up the issue of the CIAC fee. He was charged $11,000 with no substantiation from LCEC.

Jim Fitzgerald is in opposition to the alcohol sales and Extended Bar hours. He is not in favor of the continuation of the program and is concerned about the excessive drinking. He discussed domestic partnerships and the possibility of the full homestead exemption of $50,000. Currently, domestic partnerships are only entitled to $25,000.

Mayor Sawicki discussed the Solar Energy topic and directed staff to set up a meeting. In reference to her job as a marketing consultant; she stated she is not a lobbyist. Finance Director Bateman who is also a Certified Public Accountant explained that she completes the Mayor’s taxes since she took office and that none of the Mayor’s clients do business in Cape Coral.

Councilmember Leon expressed that the public not believe everything in the media. The Extended Bar Hours is only in Introduction this evening.

Councilmember Burch stated that Mayor and Council are looking at all of the numbers to make their decision. Lots of opinions are out there about what is happening between LCEC and the City. The City is doing their due diligence to determine the best thing for our rate payers.

Councilmember Cosden inquired in reference to the brightness of the electronic signs. Assistant City Manager Ilczyszyn stated that they will send a Code officer out to the business to check the brightness factor.

Councilmember Stout discussed the idea of solar energy and the CIAC fees that some residents are encountering.

Update on LCEC – Discussion regarding the electric franchise agreement and request authorization to file with the Public Service Commission - Brian P. Armstrong, Special Utility Counsel

Brian P. Armstrong discussed the LCEC franchise expiration. He was brought on to identify new terms and address significant terms, such as the right to purchase. In 1971 and 1986 the right to purchase was negotiated. The cost of serving within the City likely is much lower than the cost to others outside of the city. The rates are uniform and it appears that the City, its residents and businesses may be subsidizing the other members from other cities. When LCEC sets their rates, they set the rates and receive revenue and then pay the cost. This is where they profit, called net margin. It is put in a pool called equity capital. LCEC is a not-for-profit rural electric cooperative and they are not supposed to make profit. They have this pool with equity capital, every user has a piece of this. He stated it is an excessive amount of equity capital. Would like to see that money paid back to the residents in the City or used to reduce rates. $350 Million is a big number. He has worked on this for a year, back when we received a value of the system. In the newspaper he sees constant articles about purchasing a new system. It is not coming from the staff or him and is not a focus. They are looking to find out about the cost of service and the Equity Capital.

LCEC service territory spans 2100 square miles in 5 predominately rural counties, Lee, Charlotte, Hendry, Collier, and Broward. This is an average of 55 customers per mile. He stated we should request the Public Service Commission to see a rate set for our City only, not subsidize the other cities. Information has never been provided; the Rate Tariff is approved, but never scrutinized.
What we have requested: Cost of Service information specific to the city, assets identified, costs in the municipal boundary of the City.

What was received: Cost of Service for the entire customer base not within our boundaries.

The items requested are not proprietary business information. LCEC was collecting franchise fees from outside the boundaries, and LCEC was not collecting franchise fees for some that were inside the boundaries. We requested reconciliations repeatedly and were told it is being worked on, changing systems, months went by. When a formal request was made, we were told we are not going to get it. This is a sign of a serious management deficiency.

Franchise terms proposed should be possible without a rate increase.

Inside City Rates and Outside City Rates, these are legal on a case by case basis. 750 customers served per mile in our City. 55 per mile outside our City. Huge difference with cost causation that you use to set rates. LCEC is a Rural Electric Cooperative. This is appropriate for an area such as the Babcock Ranch; not the City of Cape Coral. Cape Coral should not be subsidizing the rest of LCEC’s service area.

He addressed the LED Street lighting topic. LCEC stated they would charge the City the same, but they should have to file the LED tariff.

He addressed the rate review topic and that it would restore transparency, explained that LCEC does fall under the Sunshine Laws. They have not been cooperative.

Next, he discussed the undergrounding of poles and removal of old poles.

Our requests are a Cost of Service Study, for rates to be set for the city, to remove the subsidies if they exist, and reduce the monthly bills that residents and businesses pay in the city.

Terms of the franchise are summarized as follows:

Section 1: 20 year term with possibility of renegotiation in 10 years.
Section 2: Equity Capital disclosure and representation on board of trustees.
Section 3-5: City right to purchase.
Section 6: LCEC right to use City streets, etc.; Relocation and relocation: cost responsibilities; Pole relocation issues, plan and reporting; Storm hardening plans; Cooperation during emergency events; indemnifications
Section 7: Consideration and coordination of efforts concerning new technology; Street Lighting Modernization plan, coordination and reporting
Section 8: Contributions in aid of construction reporting and consumer guide
Section 9: Customer Service office in City and customer complaint reporting
Section 10: Reasonable rate charged within City boundaries based upon cost causation principles
Section 11: Franchise Fee
Section 12: Maintenance of books and records and reporting; Right to inspect books/franchise fees and public service tax payments; Financial reporting
Section 13: Right to examine records using Geographical Information Systems (GIS) Software and annual meeting amongst GIS staff
Section 14: Service reliability reporting
Section 15: Open records, including cost of service information
Section 16: Semi-annual meetings of City and LCEC representative
Section 17-19: No City electric service competition, special terms relating to renewable energy sources
Section 20: Notice to City of rate and service territory changes
Section 21: No sale of assets without City consent, right of first refusal, buyer obligated by franchise.
Section 22: Capital Improvement Plan information sharing and coordination; capital reserve fund
Section 23: Undergrounding
Section 24: Smart Meters
Section 25: Forfeiture for breach
Section 26: Franchise a contract and legislative act
Section 27-29: Standard provisions
Section 30: Franchise Ordinance effective upon LCEC acceptance which shall be no later than 45 days after City Council passes franchise ordinance.

Encourages Council to file a complaint and petition to the Public Service Commission that we think rates are discriminatory inside the city and make LCEC file a Cost of Service Study that includes LED Streetlight tariff and CIAC fees.

Councilmember Burch discussed the presentation and does not feel there is anything outrageous in the franchise agreement.

**Councilmember Burch moved, seconded by Councilmember Leon to authorize the filing of a complaint and petition with the Florida Public Service Commission to initiate a review of the LCEC rate structure issues.**

Councilmember Stout expressed that she is not in favor of the adversarial relationship with LCEC; she is not in favor of a municipal electric utility program as we already have several items we are already spending our time on. She discussed the Marco Island franchise agreement, time frame and success. She has been told that the franchise agreement is non-negotiable. She read into record various emails received of residents that are also not in favor of a MEU. She expressed that the Public Service tax should be eliminated. Councilmember Stout asked how much Nabors Giblin has received for legal services. She has details that as of December 31, 2015, $126,500 has been paid to Nabors Giblin, and LCEC has also spent that much or more for their counsel. Mr. Armstrong stated that if LCEC would release the information requested the process would be much further along.

Engineer Clarke presented a document on the overhead that shows a comparison from December 2013 of a residential electric bill from the top 9 electric companies.

Councilmember Leon discussed the agreement of the contract for Nabors Giblin and commended him for the work he has accomplished. He stated that everything is negotiable and received confirmation from Brian Armstrong that is a true statement. He would like a set rate, not a percentage, expressed a concern over the issue of the emergency seat at the EOC. Assistant City Manager Ilczyszyn stated that many of these items are not a new request, some solidify that things will remain. Yes, LCEC has a seat at the EOC and they did an excellent job with our recent tornado. He does not want Council to vote on the Franchise Fee yearly. He would prefer it be a set rate. This is a good start, but there is still a long way to go. He agrees with the PSC, that is why he seconded the motion.

Mayor Sawicki discussed the 2½ years she has spent on this topic, the $2.6 Million lawsuit that the City won, would like the franchise fee to remain negotiable; she also discussed the Chamber of Commerce meeting that she attended last year. Her goal is not to get an MEU. She would like the information that has been requested to bring what is best for this City. Requested that it be explained how the dealing with deceased people is handled and what happens to the equity. She is in favor of the motion.

Mr. Armstrong stated the deceased person’s equity flows through a pool back into the Electric Cooperative after seven years of attempting to return the equity to the estate.

Councilmember Williams discussed the history of the franchise agreement investigation. We were tasked with getting as much information as possible; never thought anyone was serious about purchasing the electric. To negotiate a contract,
you need information; still not receiving the information, this is unsettling. He is in favor of the motion.

Councilmember Stout stated that Marco Island is at zero and Lee County Commission is at four. She requested to know what other Cities are charging.

Councilmember Burch stated that everything is on the table. Non-negotiable is not true. Wish list should stay where they are, what we need is the information we asked for. We have a directive to go to the Public Service Commission. He stated that he would like the vote to happen tonight and file tomorrow.

Councilmember Carioscia stated the rates were provided to him today by 3:00. He discussed electric rates over a large geographic area. He is in favor of the motion. He would like the information and this is the route to obtain it.

_Council polled as follows:_ Sawicki, Williams, Burch, Carioscia, Cosden, and Leon voted "aye." Stout voted "nay." Six "ayes." One "nay." Motion carried 6-1.

Council recessed at 6:59 p.m. and reconvened at 7:15 p.m.

**PERSONNEL ACTIONS**

None.

**PETITIONS TO COUNCIL**

None.

**APPOINTMENTS TO BOARDS/COMMITTEES/COMMISSIONS**

None.

**ORDINANCES/RESOLUTIONS**

**Public Hearings**

WHAT THE ORDINANCE ACCOMPLISHES:
An ordinance amending the City of Cape Coral Comprehensive Plan by amending the Future Land Use Map from Commercial Activity Center (CAC) to Multi-Family Residential (MF) land use for property described as Lots 36-39, Block 1842, Unit 45 Part 1, Cape Coral Subdivision; property is located at 2909 SW Santa Barbara Place.

City Clerk van Deutekom read the title of the Ordinance.

Planner IV Boyko explained that the applicant has agreed to continue this case as well as the next case Ordinance 7-16.

_P&Z Recommendation:_
At the February 3, 2016 meeting, the Planning and Zoning Commission/Local Planning Agency voted (6-0) to recommend denial of Ordinance 6-16. There were no speakers during public hearing. Staff received no correspondence.

_City Management Recommendation:_
Through the analysis of Policy 1.14 of the Future Land Use Element, the current classification of CAC is warranted. As the proposed amendment to Multi-Family Residential is not consistent with state policies regarding incompatible land uses and "spot" designations of land use, Planning Division staff recommends denial of the proposed small-scale Future Land Use Map amendment request.

Pursuant to City Council actions on March 7, 2016 with a case similar to Ordinance 6-16, Staff is currently working on language to provide flexibility within the CAC future land use and MR zoning district for smaller properties located in highly-developed
blocks. The proposed language would likely be influenced by the Flexible Development Overlay District (FDOD), which is an option for properties with the Commercial/Professional future land use map classification. Staff will expedite development of the language and will present their recommendation for Council consideration at the June 13, 2016 Regular Council Meeting.

**Councilmember Leon moved, seconded by Councilmember Williams to continue Ordinance 6-16.**

**Council polled as follows:** Sawicki, Stout, Williams, Burch, Carioscia, Cosden, and Leon voted “aye.” Seven “ayes.” Motion carried 7-0.

Ordinance 7-16 ZA 15-0010*

Final Public Hearing

*Quasi-Judicial, All Persons Testifying Must be Sworn In

**WHAT THE ORDINANCE ACCOMPLISHES:**
An ordinance amending the City of Cape Coral Official Zoning District Map of all property within the limits of the City of Cape Coral by rezoning property described as Lots 36-39, Block 1842, Cape Coral, Unit 45 Part 1, from Marketplace Residential (MR) to Multi-Family Residential (R-3) zone; property is located at 2909 SW Santa Barbara Place.

City Clerk van Deutekom read the title of the Ordinance.

**P&Z Recommendation:**
At the February 3, 2016 meeting, the Planning and Zoning Commission/Local Planning Agency voted (6-0) to recommend denial of Ordinance 7-16. There were no speakers during public hearing. Staff received no correspondence.

**City Management Recommendation:**
Planning staff has reviewed this request in accordance with Section 8.7 Amendments, .3 Consistency with the Comprehensive Plan and General Standards A., B. 1.-10 of the Land Use and Development Regulations and the City’s Comprehensive Plan. Planning Division recommends denial of the rezoning request.

Pursuant to City Council actions on March 7, 2016 with a case similar to Ordinance 6-16, Staff is currently working on language to provide flexibility within the CAC future land use and MR zoning district for smaller properties located in highly-developed blocks. The proposed language would likely be influenced by the Flexible Development Overlay District (FDOD), which is an option for properties with the Commercial/Professional future land use map classification. Staff will expedite development of the language and will present their recommendation for Council consideration at the June 13, 2016 Regular Council Meeting.

Planner IV Boyko explained that the applicant has agreed to continue this case.

**Councilmember Williams moved, seconded by Councilmember Leon to continue Ordinance 7-16.**

**Council polled as follows:** Sawicki, Stout, Williams, Burch, Carioscia, Cosden, and Leon voted “aye.” Seven “ayes.” Motion carried 7-0.

Ordinance 8-16 LU 15-0009 Final Public Hearing WITHDRAWN

**WHAT THE ORDINANCE ACCOMPLISHES:**
An ordinance amending the City of Cape Coral Comprehensive Plan by amending the Future Land Use Map from Commercial/Professional (CP) to Multi-Family Residential
land use for property described as Lots 47-49, Block 1546, Unit 17 Part 1, Cape Coral Subdivision; property is located at 422 NE 16th Place.

City Clerk van Deutekom read the title of the Ordinance.

P&Z Recommendation:
At the February 3, 2016 meeting, the Planning and Zoning Commission/Local Planning Agency voted (6-0) to recommend denial of Ordinance 8-16. There were no speakers during public hearing.

City Management Recommendation:
Through the analysis of Policy 1.14 of the Future Land Use Element, the current classification of Commercial Professional (CP) is warranted. As the proposed amendment to Multi-Family Residential is not consistent with state policies regarding incompatible land uses and "spot" designations of land use, Planning Division staff recommends denial of the proposed small-scale Future Land Use Map amendment request.

Planning Team Coordinator Daltry stated that after discussing in detail with staff the applicant requested the case be withdrawn.

INTRODUCTIONS

Ordinance 9-16 LU 15-0002 Set Public Hearing Date March 28, 2016
WHAT THE ORDINANCE ACCOMPLISHES:
An ordinance amending the City of Cape Coral Comprehensive Plan by amending the Future Land Use Map from Single Family Residential (SF) to Multi-Family Residential (MF) land use for property located at 5104 Chiquita Boulevard and 5121 SW 16th Place.

City Clerk van Deutekom read the title of the Ordinance.

P&Z recommendation:
At the March 2, 2016 meeting, the Planning and Zoning Commission/Local Planning Agency voted (7-0) to recommend approval of Ordinance 9-16. There were three speakers during public hearing. Staff received two letters in opposition of this request.

City Management Recommendation:
As the proposed amendment to Multi-Family Residential is consistent with Policies 8.4 and 8.5 of the Future Land Use Element of the Comprehensive Plan, Planning Division staff recommends approval of the proposed small-scale future land use map amendment request.

Planning Team Coordinator Daltry offered to answer any questions Council may have. A presentation will be done at the public hearing.

Ordinance 12-16 ZA15-0012* Set Public Hearing Date March 28, 2016
WHAT THE ORDINANCE ACCOMPLISHES:
An ordinance amending the City of Cape Coral Official Zoning Map by rezoning property described as all of Blocks 5788, 5789, 5790, 5791, and 5792, Cape Coral Unit 88, as more particularly described, from Residential Development (RD) to Institutional (INST) zone; property is located at 2101/2125 De Navarra Parkway.

City Clerk van Deutekom read the title of the Ordinance.

P&Z recommendation:
At the March 2, 2016 meeting, the Planning and Zoning Commission/Local Planning Agency voted (7-0) to recommend approval of Ordinance 12-16. There were no speakers during public hearing. Staff received no correspondence.
City Management Recommendation:
Staff recommends approval of this rezoning request.

Planning Team Coordinator Struve offered to answer any questions Council may have. A presentation will be done at the public hearing.

Ordinance 14-16 Set Public Hearing Date April 11, 2016
WHAT THE ORDINANCE ACCOMPLISHES:
An ordinance amending Ordinance 47-15, which adopted the City of Cape Coral Operating Budget, Revenues and Expenditures, and Capital Budget for Fiscal Year 2016, by increasing the total revenues and expenditures by a total of $34,384,979.

City Clerk van Deutekom read the title of the Ordinance.

Finance Director Bateman offered to answer any questions Council may have. A presentation will be done at the public hearing.

Ordinance 15-16 Set Public Hearing Date March 28, 2016
WHAT THE ORDINANCE ACCOMPLISHES:
The ordinance extends until April 3, 2017 the pilot program that allows extended hours of operation for establishments that sell, serve, or permit consumption of alcoholic beverages. The hours of operation have been extended until 4:00 a.m. on Saturday and Sunday mornings for establishments located in the South Cape district that meet the requirements set forth in the ordinance.

City Clerk van Deutekom read the title of the Ordinance.

Police Chief Connelly offered to answer any questions Council may have. A presentation will be done at the public hearing.

Councilmember Leon stated that now would be the time to make any changes or additions to the Ordinance.

Mayor Sawicki requested from the City Manager at the Public Hearing to provide alcohol sales for these City events from last year to this year on how much difference there was and then attendance wise for events down in the South Cape, what is the difference in attendance. City Manager Szerlag confirmed with the Mayor that she was referring to events such as Bike night.

City Attorney Menendez requested confirmation from Mayor and Council that they received the revised draft ordinance that was sent to them last Friday in respect to a revision on 3-2 B(3). The revision included a cover memo. Mayor and Council confirmed that they had received the revision.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS
Water Quality

Environmental Resources Manager Jarvis presented an update on the Caloosahatchee and Lake Okeechobee conditions. She stated the following: As of yesterday, the elevation of Lake Okeechobee was 15.44 feet and had dropped approximately 0.24 feet over the past week. (Current discharges at S-77 were 3897 cfs yesterday (4068 at S-79) and 1490 cfs at S-308.) Inflows into the lake were 1144 cfs, outflows were 6877 cfs, so it is currently emptying at a greater rate than the water is coming in. After the call last week, the Corps decided to continue releases of 4000 cfs at S-77 through Thursday. With the sunshine and windy conditions, the lake is losing 2601 cfs to evapotranspiration. We have another call tomorrow to get an update on conditions and give input. So far the forecast for the rest of the week is continuing to be dry in our area until the weekend, although the
three month forecast was for above average precipitation. Salinities in the river are less than one, and no red tide is present.

Legislative Issues

Councilmember Burch stated he will distribute his report to all of Council.

NEW BUSINESS

Item 11(A) was moved directly after Citizen’s Input.

Update on LCEC – Discussion regarding the electric franchise agreement and request authorization to file with the Public Service Commission - Brian P. Armstrong, Special Utility Counsel

REPORTS OF THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL MEMBERS

Councilmember Stout – Topics: Real Estate Investment Society Luncheon, Chamber Leadership Luncheon; CCCIA dinner on Thursday night; and Sun Splash Event on Friday night.

Councilmember Williams – Topics: Florida League of Cities in Orlando IMO Phase 3, finished the program; he recommends attendance by fellow Council; Engine 5 housing ceremony

Councilmember Burch – Topic: Beach Parkway Homeowner Association picnic

Councilmember Carioscia – No report.

Councilmember Cosden – No report.

Councilmember Erbrick – Excused

Councilmember Leon – Topics. Election day; St. Patrick’s Day celebrations


REPORTS OF THE CITY ATTORNEY AND CITY MANAGER

City Attorney – No report.

City Manager – No report.

TIME AND PLACE OF FUTURE MEETINGS

A regular meeting of the Cape Coral City Council was scheduled for Monday, March 21, 2016 at 4:30 p.m. in Council Chambers.

MOTION TO ADJOURN

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 7:39 p.m.

Submitted by,

Rebecca van Deutkom, MMC
City Clerk